

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/609,560	06/30/2000	Michael Lee McIntyre	9D-RG-19189	2877	
7590 12/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
John S Beulick Esq			PASCHALL, MARK H		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St Louis, MO			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003 / C		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/			
Office Action Summary		09/609,560	MCINTYRE ET AL.	4-			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark H Paschall	3742				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicing (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· ·	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11/	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
•	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/609,560

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title is too broad and is required to be redefined.

Claims 5 –8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 appears to be redundant with claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Horinouchi or May. Note that both patents teach a programmable oven having an initial cooking stage followed by a subsequent cooking stage, a display, a memory and a user interface. In Horinouchi the subsequent stage is determined by food parameters such as quantity. In May the subsequent stage is determined by modification of a cooking sequence, which can be recalled from the oven memory. As per the dependent claims both the applied patents teach mode, temperature and time modifications.

Art Unit: 3742

\Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Horinouchi or May et al. The claims call for a 100 percent duty cycle during preheats, which the applied patents do not specify. However, the artisan would have found it an obvious choice to vary the duty cycle to any value as long a current limitations are recognized which would lead to damage of the unit. As per claim 20 use Art Unit: 3742

of a lock out feature is commonly found on programmable devices, such as use of a pin or security code to prevent unauthorized use, e-mail etc.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Abrams et al, Hirata et al. And petty et al are cited for disclosing pertinent recipe oven controllers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0861.

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3742